UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,155	07/14/2003	Douglas T. Gjerde	P002.210	9520
55130 PHYNEXUS, II	R PARK DRIVE	8	EXAMINER	
3670 CHARTE			RAMILLANO, LORE JANET	
SAN JOSE, CA	. 75150		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/620,155 GJERDE ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1797 LORE RAMILLANO All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) LORE RAMILLANO. (4)_____. (2) Christopher Holman. Date of Interview: 09 May 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1, 8, and 19. Identification of prior art discussed: Tuvim, Colpan, and Brewer. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Holman proposed amending claim 1 by including the subject matter of claim 19 into claim 1 and proposed including claim language to broaden the bed volume range. Mr. Holman further discussed the differences between applicant's invention and Tuvim by emphasizing that Tuvim only discloses frits for liquid chromatography purposes and does not disclose using frits for solid-phase liquid extraction. Examiner will consider Mr. Holman's arguments. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. /Jill Warden/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797 Examiner's signature, if required Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.